UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/541,063	06/29/2005	Koji Utsugi	8017-1172	3808
466 YOUNG & TH	7590 12/11/200 OMPSON	EXAMINER		
209 Madison Street			ENIN-OKUT, EDU E	
	Suite 500 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1795	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/11/2008	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/541,063	UTSUGI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Edu E. Enin-Okut	1795				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>3</u> MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,						
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 Oc</u>	ctober 2008					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-12 and 14-16</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>29 June 2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☒ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)	<b>.</b>	(DTO 440)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  2) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>6/29/05</u> . 6)						

Application/Control Number: 10/541,063 Page 2

Art Unit: 1795

ELECTROLYTE SOLUTION FOR SECONDARY BATTERY AND SECONDARY BATTERY

<u>USING SAME</u>

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group III (claim 13) in the reply filed on October 1, 2008

is acknowledged.

2. Claims 1-12 and 14-16 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as

being drawn to a nonelected inventions, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was

made without traverse in the reply filed on October 1, 2008.

**Priority** 

3. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on Japanese Patent

Application No. 2003-416516 and 2004-317301, filed on December 15, 2003 and October 29, 2004,

respectively. It is noted, however, that applicant has not filed a certified copy of the above-described

applications as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis

for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use

or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Armand (US 4,818,644).

Application/Control Number: 10/541,063 Page 3

Art Unit: 1795

Regarding claim 13, Armand teaches an ionically conductive material composed of a salt represented by one of the following formulas in a liquid or solid solvent with applications to electrochemistry (Abstract, 1:7-51):

or rare earth; RF and R'F, which are the same or different, each represents a perhalogenated, preferably perfluorinated group, having from 1 to 12 carbon atoms; and, R is hydrogen or an alkyl group having from 1 to 30 carbon atoms.

Armand teaches that the salt in solution in a liquid or solid solvent (e.g., aprotic solvents such as linear ethers such as diethyl ether, dimethoxyethane, or cyclic ethers such as tetrahydrofuran, dioxane, or dimethyltetrahydrofuran; esters such as methyl or ethyl formate, propylene or ethylene carbonate, or the butyrolactones; nitriles, acetonitriles, benzonitriles; nitrated derivatives such as nitromethane or nitrobenzene; amides such as dimethylformamide, diethylformamide, or N-methylpyrrolidone; and, sulfones such as dimethyl sulfone, tetramethylene sulfone, and other sulfolanes; and, polymers such as homopolymers or copolymers of ethylene oxide; polyphosphazenes; or imides) can be used as a liquid or solid electrolyte in an electrochemical generator, such as primary or secondary batteries (1:4-6, 1:52-67, 2:38-46). The salts have a high solubility in the solvents described above (1:67-68). The ionically conductive material includes the salt pursuant to one of the formula above, but it can also include a second salt or several other salts, provided that all of these salts have the same cation (2:9-13).

## **Double Patenting**

6. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would

have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

7. Claim 13 is provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 12 of copending Application No. 10/582,855. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the instant application claims an electrolyte additive. The disclosure of the instant application differs from Application No. 10/582,855 in that the instant application does not teach the use of the additive in a secondary battery. However, if would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the additive in a lithium secondary battery to improve its capacity retention ratio and suppress an increase of resistance during storage (see instant application disclosure, para. 32).

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

## Correspondence / Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Edu E. Enin-Okut** whose telephone number is **571-270-3075**. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 7 a.m. - 3 p.m.

Application/Control Number: 10/541,063 Page 5

Art Unit: 1795

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dah-

Wei Yuan can be reached on 571-272-1295. The fax phone number for the organization where this

application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained

from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available

through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-

direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer

Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR

CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Edu E Enin-Okut/ Examiner, Art Unit 1795

/Dah-Wei D. Yuan/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1795